

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,551	11/20/2003	Darryl P. Klein	W-9652-01 3546		
7590 10/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Robert A. Maggio, Esq.			WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
Advanced Refining Technologies LLC 7500 Grace Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Columbia, MD	21044	1755			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/_		
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/719,551		KLEIN, DARRYL P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Elizabeth D.	Wood	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, n. a reply within the statutor eriod will apply and will extatute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 July 2006.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-100 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-100 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	3) 3/08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/719,551

Art Unit: 1755

Election/Restriction

The restriction requirement of March 15, 2006 is modified based on applicant's arguments filed July 20, 2006.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23 and 53-79, drawn to a precursor composition, classified in class 502, subclass varies.
- II. Claims 24-52, drawn to a precursor composition that includes a carrier, classified in class 502, subclass varies.
- III. Claims 89-100, drawn to hydrocarbon conversion processes, classified in classes 208 and 585, subclasses vary.
- IV. Claims 80-88, drawn to a catalyst composition, classified in class 502, subclass varies.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions
can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the
process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially
different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different
process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition

Art Unit: 1755

as claimed has utility for materially different purposes such as both cracking and desulfurization.

Inventions I and II or IV appear to be related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in electroless plating and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I or II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have no relationship because the precursor is not actually a catalyst composition. Therefore the two groups clearly have different functions and effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,551

Art Unit: 1755

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event that applicant elects Group III, applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of hydrocarbon conversion for prosecution.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,551

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant should elect between Groups II and IV at this time, since Groups I and III have been withdrawn from consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

edw